TAXONOMIC NOTES ON SCHEDONORUS, A SEGREGATE GENUS FROM FESTUCA OR LOLIUM, WITH A NEW NOTHOGENUS, × SCHEDOLOLIUM, AND NEW COMBINATIONS

Robert J. Soreng¹ and Edward E. Terrell²

¹Botany Department, MRC-166, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20560 U.S.A.

²Norton-Brown Herbarium, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742 U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

The genus Schedonorus P. Beauv. is accepted with a new combination, Schedonorus giganteus (L.) Soreng & Terrell. A new nothogenus, × Schedololium Soreng & Terrell, is created for hybrids between Schedonorus and Lolium, and five nothospecies are transferred to that; × Schedololium braunii (K. Richt.) Soreng & Terrell, × Schedololium brinkmannii (A. Braun) Soreng & Terrell, × Schedololium holmbergii (Dörfl.) Soreng & Terrell, × Schedololium loliaceum (Huds.) Soreng & Terrell, × Schedololium nilssonii (Cugnac & A. Camus) Soreng & Terrell.

KEY WORDS: Festuca pratensis complex, Lolium, Schedonorus, × Schedololium, Poaceae, Poeae, taxonomy

The taxonomic relationships of the three species traditionally placed in Festuca, F. arundinacea Schreb. (tall fescue), F. pratensis Huds. (meadow fescue), and F. gigantea (L.) Vill. (giant fescue), and widely discussed as the Festuca pratensis complex, have been subjected to intense scrutiny over the past few years. Darbyshire & Warwick (1992) and Darbyshire (1993; see for review of various data) concluded that several kinds of evidence favored union of the three species with Lolium rather than Festuca. On the other hand, Aiken et al. (1997) took an opposite position, retaining them under Festuca subgenus Schedonorus.

It is clear that these species have chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) types that are derived in common with the types found in Lolium and that these types significantly differ from the cpDNA types present in Festuca proper or in Vulpia (Darbyshire & Warwick 1992; Kiang et al. 1994; Spangenberg et al. 1994; Xu & Sleper 1994; Charmet et al. 1997; Soreng & Davis 1998, and unpublished cpDNA restriction site data). In the Charmet et al. (1997) study, phenetic analysis of ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer sequences, of nuclear genome origin, resolved essentially the same relationships between the Festuca, Lolium, and species of the Schedonorus complex as those detected by their analysis of cpDNA restriction site bands.

For forthcoming treatments of North American Poaceae we prefer to place the three species in question within the genus Schedonorus, which was first created by Beauvois (1812). In order to do so a new combination is needed for Festuca gigantea. A prior publication of Schedonorus giganteus Gaudin ex Roem. & Schult. (Syst. Veg. 2:644. 1817.) is invalid as it was published in synonymy, and so the name was not effectively published. A nomenclatural summary of the pertinent names is provided here.

- Schedonorus P. Beauv., Ess. Agrostogr. 99, 162, 177. (1812). Festuca subgen.
 Schedonorus (P. Beauv.) Peterm., Deutschl. Fl. 643. 1849. Festuca sect.
 Schedonorus (P. Beauv.) W.D.J. Koch, Syn. Fl. Germ. Helv. 813. 1837.
 Lolium subgen. Schedonorus (P. Beauv.) Darbysh., Novon 3(3):241. 1993.
 LECTOTYPUS: Schedonorus elatior (L.) P. Beauv., based on Festuca elatior L.,
 nom. rej. (Reveal et al. 1991; = Schedonorus arundinaceus [Schreb.] Dumort.).
- Schedonorus arundinaceus (Schreb.) Dumort., Observ. Gramin. Belg. 106. 1824.
 BASIONYM: Festuca arundinacea Schreb., Spic. Fl. Lips. 57. 1771. Lolium arundinaceum (Schreb.) Darbysh., Novon 3(3):241. 1993.
- Schedonorus pratensis (Huds.) P. Beauv., Ess. Agrostogr. 99, 163, 177. 1812. BASIONYM: Festuca pratensis Huds., Fl. Angl. 37. 1762. Lolium pratensis (Huds.) Darbysh., Novon 3(3):242. 1993.
- Schedonorus giganteus (L.) Soreng & Terrell, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Bromus giganteus L., Sp. Pl. 1:77. 1753. Festuca gigantea (L.) Vill., Hist. Pl. Dauph. 2:110. 1787. Lolium giganteum (L.) Darbysh., Novon 3(3):241. 1993.

In addition, several naturally occurring hybrids between these species of Schedonorus and species of Lolium have been named. The most common of these were recognized by Stace (1991) and Humphries (1980) in the hybrid genus × Festulolium Asch. & Graebn., but as that nothogenus is restricted to hybrids between Festuca and Lolium, a new nothogenus is needed to accommodate the hybrids in question. Some of these crosses are likely to occur spontaneously in North America.

× Schedololium Soreng & Terrell, nothogenus nov., Schedonorus P. Beauv. × Lolium L. TYPUS: × Schedololium loliaceum (Huds.) Soreng & Terrell.

- × Schedololium braunii (K. Richt.) Soreng & Terrell, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Festuca × braunii K. Richt., Pl. Eur. 1:103. 1890. × Festulolium braunii (K. Richt.) A. Camus, Bull. Mus. Hist. Nat. (Paris) 33:538. 1927. Schedonorus pratensis (Huds.) P. Beauv. × Lolium multiflorum Lam.
- Schedololium brinkmannii (A. Braun) Soreng & Terrell, comb. nov.
 BASIONYM: Festuca × brinkmannii A. Braun, Ind. Sem. (Berlin) App. 11.
 1861. × Festulolium brinkmannii (A. Braun) Asch. & Graebn., Syn. Mitteleur.
 Fl. 2:769. 1902. Schedonorus giganteus (L.) Soreng & Terrell × Lolium perenne L.
- × Schedololium holmbergii (Dörfl.) Soreng & Terrell, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Festuca × holmbergii Dörfl., Beih. Bot. Centralbl. 32:651. 1911. × Festulolium holmbergii (Dörfl.) P. Fourn., Quatre Fl. France 81. 1935. Schedonorus arundinaceus (Schreb.) Dumort. × Lolium perenne L.
- Schedololium loliaceum (Huds.) Soreng & Terrell, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Festuca loliacea Huds., Fl. Angl. 38. 1762. Schedonorus loliaceus (Huds.) P. Beauv., Ess. Agrostogr. 99, 163, 177. 1812. Lolium × festucaceum Link, Linnaea 2:235. 1827. × Festulolium loliaceum (Huds.) P. Fourn., Quatre Fl. France 81. 1935. Schedonorus pratensis (Huds.) P. Beauv. × Lolium perenne L.
- × Schedololium nilssonii (Cugnac & A. Camus) Soreng & Terrell, comb. nov. BASIONYM: × Festulolium nilssonii Cugnac & A. Camus, Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 19:19. 1944. Schedonorus giganteus (L.) Soreng & Terrell × Lolium multiflorum Lam.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mary Barkworth and Stephen Darbyshire, for their helpful discussions of varying opinions and viewpoints, and Stephen Darbyshire and John Wiersema for careful reviews of the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

Aiken, S.G., M.J. Dallwitz, C.L. McJannet, & L.L. Consaul. 1997. Biodiversity among Festuca (Poaceae) in North America: diagnostic evidence from DELTA and clustering programs, and an INTKEY package for interactive, illustrated identification and information retrieval. Can. J. Bot. 75:1527-1555.

Charmet, G., C. Ravel, & F. Balfourier. 1997. Phylogenetic analysis in the Festuca--Lolium complex using molecular markers and ITS rDNA. Theor. Appl. Genet.

94:1038-1046.

Darbyshire, S.J. 1993. Realignment of Festuca subgenus Schedonorus with the

genus Lolium (Poaceae). Novon 3:239-243.

- Darbyshire, S.J. & S.I. Warwick. 1992. Phylogeny of North American Festuca (Poaceae) and related genera using chloroplast DNA restriction site variation. Can. J. Bot. 70:2415-2429.
- Humphries, C.J. 1980. × Festulolium, p. 153, In: Tutin, T.G., et al., Flora Europaea. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Kiang, A.-S., V. Connolly, D.J. McConnell, & T.A. Kavanagh. 1994. Paternal inheritance of mitochondria and chloroplasts in Festuca pratensis-Lolium perenne intergeneric hybrids. Theor. Appl. Genet. 87:681-688.
- Reveal, J.L., E.E. Terrell, J.H. Wiersema, H. Scholz. 1991. (996) Proposal to reject Festuca elatior L. with comments on the typification of F. pratensis and F. arundinacea (Poaceae). Taxon 40:135-137.
- Soreng, R.J. & J.I. Davis. 1998. Phylogenetics and character evolution in the grass family (Poaceae): Simultaneous analysis of morphological and chloroplast DNA restriction site character sets. Bot. Rev. 64(1):1-85.
- Spangenberg, G., M.P. Vallés, Wang Z.-Y., P. Montavon, J. Nagel, & I. Potrykus. 1994. Asymmetric somatic hybridization between tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea Schreb.) and irradiated Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum Lam.) protoplasts. Theor. Appl. Genet. 88:509-519.

Stace, C.A. 1991. New Flora of the British Isles. St. Edmundsbury Press Ltd.,

Suffolk, United Kingdom.

Xu W.-W. & D.A. Sleper. 1994. Phylogeny of tall fescue and related species using RFLPs. Theor. Appl. Genet. 88:685-690.